## (Insert Local Area name here) Workforce Development Board Local Area Incumbent Worker Application Assessment

BUSIN	IES:	S:	
DATE	OF	RE\	/IEW:

## DUE DATE OF ASSESSMENT FOR BUSINESS NOTIFICATION OF AWARD:

Training Topic(s):				
Amount Requested:		Collabora	tive Grant? ☐ Yes	□ No
Application version:	1 <sup>st</sup> submission	2 <sup>nd</sup> submission	3 <sup>rd</sup> submission	

Application version: 1 <sup>st</sup> submission 2 <sup>st</sup> submission 3 <sup>st</sup> submission			
QUESTION	ANSWER	COMMENTS	
What is the structure of the business?	□For-profit □Not-for-profit	Comments:	
Has the business been in operation in NC for at least twelve months?	□Yes □No	Previous (insert Local WDB name) engagements with business:	
Have the proposed employees to be trained been employed at the business for at least six months prior to anticipated training start date(s)?	□Yes □No	Comments:	
Has the business previously used the state-sponsored incumbent worker grant?	□Yes □No	If yes, indicate standing: If yes, indicate previous total amount awarded:	
Has the business exhausted all means of other training programs (related to the training needs outline in this application) available through the SBTDC and NC Community College's Customized Training Programs, that are available at no cost?	□Yes □No	Comments regarding discussions with the SBTDC and NC Community College's Customized Training Programs:	
Has the business satisfied the non-federal share requirement of the total amount requested?	□Yes □No	Non-federal (in-kind) share from business based on number of employees:  10% ≤ total request grant funds: required.  Business indicated:  25% ≤ total request grant funds: required.  Business indicated:  50% ≤ total request grant funds: required.  Puripage indicated:	

Other Comments from the BSR for review committee:

## LOCAL AREA INCUMBENT WORKER QUESTIONS FOR REVIEW COMMITTEE: To be filled out by each

Local Area Incumbent Worker committee member	
Business:	
Committee Member Name:	

	QUESTION	ANSWER	COMMENTS		
1.	The training(s) addresses the skills gaps of an employee or group of employees.	Strongly agree (11-15 points)  Agree (6-10 points)  Disagree (1-5 points)  Strongly Disagree (0 points)			
2.	The training(s) will result in increased productivity, OR profitability, OR competitiveness, OR sustainability of the applicant.	Strongly agree (11-15 points)  Agree (6-10 points)  Disagree (1-5 points)  Strongly Disagree (0 points)			
3.	The training(s) will result in retention and/or promotion of the designated employee(s) to be trained.	Strongly agree (11-15 points)  Agree (6-10 points)  Disagree (1-5 points)  Strongly Disagree (0 points)			
4.	The training(s) proposed falls within the reimbursable requirements outlined in the Incumbent Worker Policy.	Strongly agree (11-15 points)  Agree (6-10 points)  Disagree (1-5 points)  Strongly Disagree (0 points)			
5.	The training topic is clearly aligned to the training need outlined in the application.	Strongly agree (11-15 points)  Agree (6-10 points)  Disagree (1-5 points)  Strongly Disagree (0 points)			
6.	The training provider has strong qualifications related to this training topic.	Strongly agree (11-15 points)  Agree (6-10 points)  Disagree (1-5 points)  Strongly Disagree (0 points)			
	The amount requested is reasonable in terms of the training topic(s) and the non-federal share is fairly evaluated.	Strongly agree (11-15 points)  Agree (6-10 points)  Disagree (1-5 points)  Strongly Disagree (0 points)			
lf t	If the training(s) will result in new certification(s) for the employee(s), add 15 points to your total score.				
		TOTAL POINTS: Click or tap here to enter text.	Overall Comments:		

## LOCAL AREA INCUMBENT WORKER TOTAL SCORING DESIGNATION

QUESTIONS & SCORING DESIGNATIONS	COMMITTEE MEMBER SCORES	COMMENTS	AVERAGE
Strongly agree (11-15 points) Agree (6-10 points) Disagree (1-5 points) Strongly Disagree (0 points)	The scores below reflect each member's evaluation of the application as it pertains to the assigned question. A minimum of three (3) scorers required for each evaluation.	If the average requirement and total score requirement is satisfied (application is thus approved for funding), applicants have the option to address the comments below by communicating with the BSR (it is not a requirement that this issues/question be addressed by the applicant).  If the average requirement and total score requirement is not satisfied (application is not approved for funding), applicants are encouraged to resubmit their applications by resolving the comments below in order for the application to be received for a second scoring.	Applications that receive a total average that is 70% or higher of the maximum score (105), and do not receive an average between 0-5 on any of the questions will be awarded. Therefore, applications with a total of 73.5 or above will be awarded*.  *An average between 0-5 on ANY element will result in application disqualification (total score will be null). Businesses can revise and resubmit for another scoring.
The training(s)     addresses the skills     gaps of an employee or     group of employees.			
2. The training(s) will result in increased profitability, competitiveness, sustainability, etc. of the business.			
The training(s) will result in retention and/or promotion of the employee(s).			

4. The training(s) proposed falls within the reimbursable requirements outlined in the business guidelines.		
5. The training topic is clearly aligned to the training need outlined in the application.		
6. The training provider has strong qualifications related to this training topic,		
7. The amount requested is reasonable in terms of the training topic(s) and the non-federal share is fairly evaluated.		
Number of extra points for certification(s) resulting from training.		Total extra points:
	Comments from Business Services Representative:	TOTAL:  Awarded: □ Yes □ No